

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

	DATE DATE OF	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Jin Li	M4065.0735/P735	2741
10/653,222 09/03/2003 74998 7590 03/23/2006		EXAM NGUYEN,	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/653,222	LI, JIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph Nguyen	2815	

II A

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	THE DEDLY FILED OF Many 1, 2000 THE DESCRIPTION OF
	THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or following time periods.
	a) \( The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing data of the first private
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK DOX (b) the final rejection.
ı	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 23 OFF9. A 2001.
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the off petitions of the time. The period of the period of the date of the second of the date of the period amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date has been tensed adulturely period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.
1	<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) of any expension the set (37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date.</li> </ol>
	of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
1	
1	<ol> <li>Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ol>
I	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
ı	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
١	
l	1. In amendments are not in compliance with 37 CER 1 121 Secretary Notes (1)
	<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)</li> </ol>
	7. \( \sum \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \sum \) will not be entered, or b) \( \sum \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or a mended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Į	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>21,27,33,36-41 and 47-55.</u>
ı	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
4	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9	3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Netice of Association
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not explicit a repeat and/or appellant fails to provide a
1	
	<ol> <li>M The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:</li> </ol>
1	2.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
1	3. Other:
	KENNETH PARKER
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	OUF ENVIOUR 1701

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New limitations "said second light conductor being coexistensive with an adjacent second light conductor in at Commeason of S. Notic. New immediate sets a second light conductor being oversiterable with an adjacent second light conductor precursor, etching said first light conductor precursor to create a first light conductor having concave recesses" added to claim 33 require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claim 21, applicant argues Social fields to disclose " a substantially planar microlens array formed over said plurality of photosensitive regions; said microlens array comprising ... a second light conductor within each recess and over a planar surface of a first light conductor. However, Kochi clearly discloses in figures 4 a substantially planar microlens array 14, 15 formed over said plurality of photosensitive regions; said microlens array comprising a first light conductor 14 (col. 4, line 60); and a second light conductor 15 within each recess (col. 5, lines 4-5) and over a planar surface of said first light conductor 14. Note that elements 14, 15 together can form and function as the claimed microlens array a prener surface or saw mist high companion in the man enterior in a companion of the claimed microlens array. Therefore, the rejection of claim 21 is proper and accordingly the rejection of claims 47-52, which depend from claim 21 still stands.